

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/565,735		HUMMEL ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	JING OU		3773	

All Participants:

(1) JING OU.

(2) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

11, 14, 17, 23, 25, and 29

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/J.O./

Status of Application: _____

(3) Mark Bicks.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner discussed the proposed examiner's amendment with applicants' representative. Applicants' representative agreed on the examiner's amendment and authorized the examiner to enter the examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance. .